# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Joyce L. Wilson,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff, v.	· : :
Merchants Preferred Lease-Purchase Systems,	: : COMPLAINT : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	; <sup>-</sup> ; ;

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Joyce L. Wilson, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (the "TCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, Joyce L. Wilson ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Fairmont, West Virginia, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 4. Defendant Merchants Preferred Lease-Purchase Systems ("Merchants"), is a Georgia business entity with an address of 5500 Interstate Noth

Parkway, Suite 350, Atlanta, Georgia 30325, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

#### **FACTS**

- 5. Within the last year, Merchants began calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 404-xxx-5691, using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS"). Plaintiff had provided this number as part of her account application.
- 6. When Plaintiff answered calls from Merchants, she heard silence and had to wait on the line before she was connected to the next available representative.
- 7. In July of 2018, Plaintiff spoke with a live representative and requested that all calls to her cease.
- 8. Nevertheless, Merchants continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

## <u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq.</u>

- 9. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 10. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone number using an ATDS or predictive dialer.

- Communications Commission ("FCC") defines a predictive dialer as "a dialing system that automatically dials consumers' telephone numbers in a manner that "predicts" the time when a consumer will answer the phone and a [representative] will be available to take the call..."2003 TCPA Order, 18 FCC 36 Rcd 14022. The FCC explains that if a representative is not "free to take a call that has been placed by a predictive dialer, the consumer answers the phone only to hear 'dead air' or a dial tone, causing frustration." *Id.* In addition, the TCPA places prohibitions on companies that "abandon" calls by setting "the predictive dialers to ring for a very short period of time before disconnecting the call; in such cases, the predictive dialer does not record the call as having been abandoned." *Id.*
- 12. Defendant's telephone system(s) have some earmarks of a predictive dialer.
- 13. When Plaintiff answered calls from Defendant, she heard silence before Defendant's telephone system would connect her to the next available representative.
- 14. Defendant's predictive dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.
- 15. Defendant placed automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number despite knowing that it lacked consent to do so. As such, each call placed

to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

- 16. The telephone number called by Defendant was and is assigned to a cellular telephone serviced by Boost Mobile for which Plaintiff incurs charges pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 17. Plaintiff was annoyed, harassed and inconvenienced by Defendant's continued calls.
- 18. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 19. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 20. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

A. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

- B. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and
- C. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

# TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: November 13, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg, Esq. Attorney Bar No.: 598666 Attorney for Plaintiff Joyce L Wilson LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C. 43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor Wilton, CT 06897 Telephone: (203) 653-2250 ext. 5500

Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Email: slemberg@lemberglaw.com